

# Attack and Improvement of a Secure S-box Calculation Based on the Fourier Transform

Jean-Sébastien Coron<sup>1</sup>, Christophe Giraud<sup>2</sup>, Emmanuel Prouff<sup>2</sup>, and Matthieu Rivain<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Luxembourg

<sup>2</sup> Oberthur Technologies

August 11, 2008

J.-S. Coron, C. Giraud, E. Prouff, and M. Rivain Attack and Improvement of the FT-Based S-box Calculation





- 2 S-box Masking Based on the Fourier Transform
- Differential Power Analysis vs. Biased Masking 3
- OPA against the FT-Based S-box Masking
- Improved FT-Based S-box Masking 5



Conclusion





## Preliminaries



# Differential Power Analysis (DPA)

#### **DPA** Basics

- Physical leakage dependent on intermediate variables
- Sensitive variable depends on both the input plaintext and on a guessable part of the secret key
- DPA exploits the physical leakage on a sensitive variable for key recovery



# Differential Power Analysis (DPA)

#### **DPA** Basics

- Physical leakage dependent on intermediate variables
- Sensitive variable depends on both the input plaintext and on a guessable part of the secret key
- DPA exploits the physical leakage on a sensitive variable for key recovery

#### DPA Security

Every intermediate variable is independent of any sensitive variable.



#### Masking Countermeasure

- Every sensitive variable Z is masked with a random value R
- **masked variable**  $\widetilde{Z} = Z \oplus R$  and **mask** R both independent of Z
- Masked variables and masks processed separately
- Completeness:  $Z = \widetilde{Z} \oplus R$



#### Masking Countermeasure

- $\blacksquare$  Every sensitive variable Z is masked with a random value R
- **masked variable**  $\widetilde{Z} = Z \oplus R$  and **mask** R both independent of Z
- Masked variables and masks processed separately
- Completeness:  $Z = \widetilde{Z} \oplus R$
- Masking a block cipher requires the masking of:
  - the key additions
  - the linear transformations
  - the substitution boxes (S-boxes)



#### Masking Countermeasure

- $\hfill\blacksquare$  Every sensitive variable Z is masked with a random value R
- **masked variable**  $\widetilde{Z} = Z \oplus R$  and **mask** R both independent of Z
- Masked variables and masks processed separately
- Completeness:  $Z = \widetilde{Z} \oplus R$

## Masking a block cipher requires the masking of:

- the key additions
- the linear transformations
- the substitution boxes (S-boxes)

#### Key addition

Masked Var.		Mask		
$Z\oplus R$	$\oplus$	R	=	Z



#### Masking Countermeasure

- $\hfill\blacksquare$  Every sensitive variable Z is masked with a random value R
- **masked variable**  $\widetilde{Z} = Z \oplus R$  and **mask** R both independent of Z
- Masked variables and masks processed separately
- Completeness:  $Z = \widetilde{Z} \oplus R$

## Masking a block cipher requires the masking of:

- the key additions
- the linear transformations
- the substitution boxes (S-boxes)

Key addition

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Masked Var.} & \text{Mask} \\ Z \oplus R \oplus K & \oplus & R &= & Z \oplus K \end{array}$ 

ъ



#### Masking Countermeasure

- $\blacksquare$  Every sensitive variable Z is masked with a random value R
- **masked variable**  $\widetilde{Z} = Z \oplus R$  and **mask** R both independent of Z
- Masked variables and masks processed separately
- Completeness:  $Z = \widetilde{Z} \oplus R$

## Masking a block cipher requires the masking of:

- the key additions
- the linear transformations
- the substitution boxes (S-boxes)

# Linear transformation Masked Var. Mask $Z \oplus R \oplus R = Z$ $(\Box ) (\overline{C}) (\overline{C})$

J.-S. Coron, C. Giraud, E. Prouff, and M. Rivain Attack and Improvement of the FT-Based S-box Calculation



#### Masking Countermeasure

- $\blacksquare$  Every sensitive variable Z is masked with a random value R
- **masked variable**  $\widetilde{Z} = Z \oplus R$  and **mask** R both independent of Z
- Masked variables and masks processed separately
- Completeness:  $Z = \widetilde{Z} \oplus R$

## Masking a block cipher requires the masking of:

- the key additions
- the linear transformations
- the substitution boxes (S-boxes)

#### Linear transformation

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \mbox{Masked Var.} & \mbox{Mask} \\ L(Z \oplus R) & \oplus & L(R) & = & L(Z) \end{array}$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

-



#### Masking Countermeasure

- $\hfill\blacksquare$  Every sensitive variable Z is masked with a random value R
- **masked variable**  $\widetilde{Z} = Z \oplus R$  and **mask** R both independent of Z
- Masked variables and masks processed separately
- Completeness:  $Z = \widetilde{Z} \oplus R$

#### Masking a block cipher requires the masking of:

- the key additions
- the linear transformations
- the substitution boxes (S-boxes)

#### Substitution box

Issue: From  $Z \oplus R$  and R, compute  $F(Z) \oplus R'$ . All intermediate var. must be independent of Z.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト









 Prouff, Giraud, and Aumonier in CHES 2006 : Provably Secure S-Box Implementation Based on Fourier Transform



- Prouff, Giraud, and Aumonier in CHES 2006 : Provably Secure S-Box Implementation Based on Fourier Transform
- The Fourier Transform of a  $(n \times n)$  S-box F is defined by:

$$\widehat{F}(Z) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} F(a)(-1)^{a \cdot Z}$$



- Prouff, Giraud, and Aumonier in CHES 2006 : Provably Secure S-Box Implementation Based on Fourier Transform
- The Fourier Transform of a  $(n \times n)$  S-box F is defined by:

$$\widehat{F}(Z) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} F(a)(-1)^{a \cdot Z}$$

It satisfies  $\widehat{\widehat{F}} = 2^n F$ , that is:

$$F(Z) = \frac{1}{2^n} \widehat{F}(Z) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a) (-1)^{a \cdot Z}$$

J.-S. Coron, C. Giraud, E. Prouff, and M. Rivain Attack and Improvement of the FT-Based S-box Calculation



#### S-box Masking Based on the Fourier Transform

$$F(Z) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a) (-1)^{a \cdot Z}$$



#### S-box Masking Based on the Fourier Transform

$$(-1)^{\widetilde{Z} \cdot R_1} F(Z) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a) (-1)^{a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \oplus R_1 \cdot (a \oplus \widetilde{Z})}$$



#### S-box Masking Based on the Fourier Transform

$$(-1)^{(\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)\cdot R_1}F(Z) = \frac{1}{2^n}\sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_2^n}\widehat{F}(a)(-1)^{a\cdot\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_1\cdot(a\oplus\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)}$$



#### S-box Masking Based on the Fourier Transform

$$(-1)^{(\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)\cdot R_1}F(Z) + R_3 \mod 2^n =$$

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a)(-1)^{a\cdot\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_1\cdot(a\oplus\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)} \mod 2^{2n} \right)$$



#### S-box Masking Based on the Fourier Transform

INPUTS: a masked var.  $\widetilde{Z} = Z \oplus R_1$ , a mask  $R_1$ , a look-up table  $\widehat{F}$ OUTPUTS: a masked output  $F(Z) \oplus R_3$ , a mask  $R_3$ 

$$(-1)^{(\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)\cdot R_1}F(Z) + R_3 \mod 2^n =$$

$$\frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a)(-1)^{a\cdot\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_1\cdot(a\oplus\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)} \mod 2^{2n} \right)$$

#### Remark

The sum is implemented by a loop on  $2^n$  elements.

 $\Rightarrow$  Of interest for S-boxes with small dimensions (e.g. n = 4).



$$(-1)^{(\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)\cdot R_1}F(Z) + R_3 = \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a)(-1)^{a\cdot\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_1\cdot(a\oplus\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)} \right)$$

J.-S. Coron, C. Giraud, E. Prouff, and M. Rivain Attack and Improvement of the FT-Based S-box Calculation

- 4 回 2 - 4 □ 2 - 4 □

1



$$(-1)^{(\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)\cdot R_1}F(Z) + R_3 = \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a)(-1)^{a\cdot\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_1\cdot(a\oplus\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)} \right)$$

The Flaw

 $\bullet \ a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \oplus R_1 \cdot (\widetilde{Z} \oplus a \oplus R_2) = a \cdot Z \oplus R_1 \cdot (\widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2)$ 

▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲



$$(-1)^{(\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)\cdot R_1}F(Z) + R_3 = \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a)(-1)^{a\cdot\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_1\cdot(a\oplus\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)} \right)$$

#### The Flaw

- $\bullet \ a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \oplus R_1 \cdot (\widetilde{Z} \oplus a \oplus R_2) = a \cdot Z \oplus R_1 \cdot (\widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2)$
- **R**<sub>1</sub> and  $(\widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2)$  are independently and uniformly distributed (iud)



$$(-1)^{(\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)\cdot R_1}F(Z) + R_3 = \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a\in\mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a)(-1)^{a\cdot\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_1\cdot(a\oplus\widetilde{Z}\oplus R_2)} \right)$$

#### The Flaw

- $\bullet \ a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \oplus R_1 \cdot (\widetilde{Z} \oplus a \oplus R_2) = a \cdot Z \oplus R_1 \cdot (\widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2)$
- **R**<sub>1</sub> and  $(\widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2)$  are independently and uniformly distributed (iud)
- The scalar product of two iud r. v.  $X \cdot Y$  is not a uniform r. v.:

$$P[X \cdot Y = 0] = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$$





#### Oifferential Power Analysis vs. Biased Masking

#### 4 DPA against the FT-Based S-box Masking

5 Improved FT-Based S-box Masking

6 Conclusion



- Let  $b_{k^*} = f(X, k^*)$  be a bit of the computation, where
  - ▶ X is a public variable (uniformly distributed)
  - $k^*$  is a guessable part of the secret key
- Let L be the leakage on  $b_{k^*}$



- Let  $b_{k^*} = f(X, k^*)$  be a bit of the computation, where
  - X is a public variable (uniformly distributed)
  - $k^*$  is a guessable part of the secret key
- Let L be the leakage on  $b_{k^*}$

#### DPA Assumption

$$E[L|b_{k^*} = 0] - E[L|b_{k^*} = 1] = \Delta \neq 0$$



- Let  $b_{k^*} = f(X,k^*)$  be a bit of the computation, where
  - X is a public variable (uniformly distributed)
  - $k^*$  is a guessable part of the secret key
- Let L be the leakage on  $b_{k^*}$

#### DPA Assumption

$$E[L|b_{k^*} = 0] - E[L|b_{k^*} = 1] = \Delta \neq 0$$

#### DPA Attack

• Make a guess  $k \stackrel{?}{=} k^*$ 



- Let  $b_{k^*} = f(X,k^*)$  be a bit of the computation, where
  - X is a public variable (uniformly distributed)
  - $k^*$  is a guessable part of the secret key
- Let L be the leakage on  $b_{k^*}$

#### DPA Assumption

$$E[L|b_{k^*}=0] - E[L|b_{k^*}=1] = \Delta \neq 0$$

- Make a guess  $k \stackrel{?}{=} k^*$
- For several executions, measure L and predict  $b_k = f(X, k)$ .



- Let  $b_{k^*} = f(X,k^*)$  be a bit of the computation, where
  - X is a public variable (uniformly distributed)
  - $k^*$  is a guessable part of the secret key
- Let L be the leakage on  $b_{k^*}$

#### DPA Assumption

$$E[L|b_{k^*}=0] - E[L|b_{k^*}=1] = \Delta \neq 0$$

- Make a guess  $k \stackrel{?}{=} k^*$
- For several executions, measure L and predict  $b_k = f(X, k)$ .
- Compute the difference of means:  $\Delta_k = \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 0 \right] \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 1 \right]$



- Let  $b_{k^*} = f(X,k^*)$  be a bit of the computation, where
  - X is a public variable (uniformly distributed)
  - $k^*$  is a guessable part of the secret key
- Let L be the leakage on  $b_{k^*}$

#### DPA Assumption

$$E[L|b_{k^*}=0] - E[L|b_{k^*}=1] = \Delta \neq 0$$

- Make a guess  $k \stackrel{?}{=} k^*$
- For several executions, measure L and predict  $b_k = f(X, k)$ .
- Compute the difference of means:  $\Delta_k = \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 0 \right] \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 1 \right]$ 
  - If  $k = k^*$  then  $P[b_k = b_{k^*}] = 1$  and  $\Delta_k \to \Delta$



- Let  $b_{k^*} = f(X,k^*)$  be a bit of the computation, where
  - X is a public variable (uniformly distributed)
  - $k^*$  is a guessable part of the secret key
- Let L be the leakage on  $b_{k^*}$

#### DPA Assumption

$$E[L|b_{k^*}=0] - E[L|b_{k^*}=1] = \Delta \neq 0$$

- Make a guess  $k \stackrel{?}{=} k^*$
- For several executions, measure L and predict  $b_k = f(X, k)$ .

• Compute the difference of means:  $\Delta_k = \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 0 \right] - \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 1 \right]$ 

- If  $k = k^*$  then  $P[b_k = b_{k^*}] = 1$  and  $\Delta_k \to \Delta$
- If  $k \neq k^*$  then  $P[b_k = b_{k^*}] = \alpha < 1$  and  $\Delta_k \to (1 2\alpha)\Delta$



- Let  $b_{k^*} = f(X,k^*)$  be a bit of the computation, where
  - X is a public variable (uniformly distributed)
  - $k^*$  is a guessable part of the secret key
- Let L be the leakage on  $b_{k^*}$

#### DPA Assumption

$$E[L|b_{k^*}=0] - E[L|b_{k^*}=1] = \Delta \neq 0$$

#### DPA Attack

- Make a guess  $k \stackrel{?}{=} k^*$
- For several executions, measure L and predict  $b_k = f(X, k)$ .

• Compute the difference of means:  $\Delta_k = \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 0 \right] - \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 1 \right]$ 

- If  $k = k^*$  then  $P[b_k = b_{k^*}] = 1$  and  $\Delta_k \to \Delta$
- ▶ If  $k \neq k^*$  then  $P[b_k = b_{k^*}] = \alpha < 1$  and  $\Delta_k \rightarrow (1 2\alpha)\Delta$

• Assuming  $\alpha > 0$  we have  $|(1 - 2\alpha)\Delta| < |\Delta|$ 



#### - The leakage L depends on a masked bit $b_{k^*} \oplus R$

• The mask is biased:  $P[R=0] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ 



## - The leakage L depends on a masked bit $b_{k^*}\oplus R$

• The mask is biased:  $P[R=0] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ 

DPA Assumption

$$\mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 0\right] - \mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 1\right] = \Delta \neq 0$$



## - The leakage L depends on a masked bit $b_{k^*}\oplus R$

• The mask is biased:  $P[R=0] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ 

**DPA** Assumption

$$\mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 0\right] - \mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 1\right] = \Delta \neq 0$$

#### DPA Attack

Make a guess 
$$k\stackrel{?}{=}k^*$$

Compute the difference of means:  $\Delta_k = \widehat{\mathrm{E}}\left[L|b_k=0
ight] - \widehat{\mathrm{E}}\left[L|b_k=1
ight]$ 



## - The leakage L depends on a masked bit $b_{k^*}\oplus R$

• The mask is biased:  $P[R=0] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ 

**DPA** Assumption

$$\mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 0\right] - \mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 1\right] = \Delta \neq 0$$

#### DPA Attack

• Make a guess 
$$k \stackrel{?}{=} k^*$$

• Compute the difference of means:  $\Delta_k = \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 0 \right] - \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 1 \right]$ 

If 
$$k = k^*$$
 then  $P[b_k = b_{k^*} \oplus R] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ , and  
 $\Delta_k \to (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)\Delta + (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)(-\Delta)$ 



## - The leakage L depends on a masked bit $b_{k^*}\oplus R$

• The mask is biased:  $P[R=0] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ 

DPA Assumption

$$\mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 0\right] - \mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 1\right] = \Delta \neq 0$$

#### DPA Attack

**–** Make a guess 
$$k \stackrel{?}{=} k^*$$

Compute the difference of means:  $\Delta_k = \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 0 \right] - \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 1 \right]$ 

If  $k = k^*$  then  $P[b_k = b_{k^*} \oplus R] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ , and  $\Delta_k \to (\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon)\Delta + (\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon)(-\Delta) = 2\varepsilon\Delta$ 



## - The leakage L depends on a masked bit $b_{k^*}\oplus R$

• The mask is biased:  $P[R=0] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ 

DPA Assumption

$$\mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 0\right] - \mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 1\right] = \Delta \neq 0$$

#### DPA Attack

**–** Make a guess 
$$k \stackrel{?}{=} k^*$$

• Compute the difference of means:  $\Delta_k = \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 0 \right] - \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 1 \right]$ 

If  $k = k^*$  then  $P[b_k = b_{k^*} \oplus R] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ , and

$$\Delta_k \to \left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right) \Delta + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) (-\Delta) = 2\varepsilon \Delta$$

• If 
$$k \neq k^*$$
 then  $\Delta_k \to 2\varepsilon(1-2\alpha)\Delta$ 



## - The leakage L depends on a masked bit $b_{k^*}\oplus R$

• The mask is biased:  $P[R=0] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ 

**DPA** Assumption

$$\mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 0\right] - \mathbf{E}\left[L|b_{k^*} \oplus R = 1\right] = \Delta \neq 0$$

#### DPA Attack

• Make a guess 
$$k \stackrel{?}{=} k^*$$

• Compute the difference of means:  $\Delta_k = \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 0 \right] - \widehat{E} \left[ L | b_k = 1 \right]$ 

If 
$$k = k^*$$
 then  $\Pr\left[b_k = b_{k^*} \oplus R\right] = \frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon$ , and

$$\Delta_k \to \left(\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon\right) \Delta + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\right) (-\Delta) = 2\varepsilon \Delta$$

If 
$$k \neq k^*$$
 then  $\Delta_k \to 2\varepsilon(1-2\alpha)\Delta$ 

The convergence requires about (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2e</sub>)<sup>2</sup> times more leakage measurements





- 2 S-box Masking Based on the Fourier Transform
- 3 Differential Power Analysis vs. Biased Masking
- OPA against the FT-Based S-box Masking
- 5 Improved FT-Based S-box Masking

## 6 Conclusion



- Targeted bit:  $a \cdot Z \oplus R_1 \cdot (\widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2)$ 
  - Z: sensitive n-bit S-box input
  - ► a: loop index
  - $R_1 \cdot (Z \oplus R_2)$ : biased mask



- Targeted bit:  $a \cdot Z \oplus R_1 \cdot (\widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2)$ 
  - Z: sensitive n-bit S-box input
  - ► a: loop index
  - $R_1 \cdot (\widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2)$ : biased mask
- Mask bias:  $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$ 
  - Number of required measurements multiply by  $(\frac{1}{2\epsilon})^2 = 2^{2n}$

• If 
$$n = 4$$
 then  $(\frac{1}{2\varepsilon})^2 = 256$ 



- Masked AES implementation
- S-box implemented with the composite field method

• F is defined as : 
$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-1} & \text{if } x \in GF(16) \setminus \{0\} \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$



- Masked AES implementation
- S-box implemented with the composite field method

• F is defined as : 
$$F(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-1} & \text{if } x \in GF(16) \setminus \{0\} \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$



J.-S. Coron, C. Giraud, E. Prouff, and M. Rivain Attack and Improvement of the FT-Based S-box Calculation



## Preliminaries

- S-box Masking Based on the Fourier Transform
- 3 Differential Power Analysis vs. Biased Masking
- 4 DPA against the FT-Based S-box Masking



## Improved FT-Based S-box Masking

## 6 Conclusion



$$(-1)^{R_2} F(Z) + R_3 \mod 2^n$$
  
=  $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a) (-1)^{a \cdot Z \oplus R_2} \mod 2^{2n} \right) \right\rfloor$ 

,



$$(-1)^{R_2} F(Z) + R_3 \mod 2^n$$
  
=  $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a) (-1)^{a \cdot Z \oplus R_2} \mod 2^{2n} \right) \right\rfloor$ 

,

For every *a*:

 $Tmp \leftarrow a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot \widetilde{Z}]$ 



$$(-1)^{R_2} F(Z) + R_3 \mod 2^n$$
  
=  $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a) (-1)^{a \cdot Z \oplus R_2} \mod 2^{2n} \right) \right\rfloor$ 

,

For every *a*:

$$Tmp \leftarrow a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot \widetilde{Z}]$$
$$Tmp \leftarrow Tmp \oplus R_2 \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2]$$



$$(-1)^{R_2} F(Z) + R_3 \mod 2^n$$
  
=  $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a) (-1)^{a \cdot Z \oplus R_2} \mod 2^{2n} \right) \right\rfloor$ 

,

For every *a*:

$$Tmp \leftarrow a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot \widetilde{Z}]$$
$$Tmp \leftarrow Tmp \oplus R_2 \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2]$$
$$Tmp \leftarrow Tmp \oplus a \cdot R_1 \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot Z \oplus R_2]$$



$$(-1)^{R_2} F(Z) + R_3 \mod 2^n = \left\lfloor \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a) (-1)^{a \cdot Z \oplus R_2} \mod 2^{2n} \right) \right\rfloor ,$$

For every *a*:

$$Tmp \leftarrow a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot \widetilde{Z}]$$
$$Tmp \leftarrow Tmp \oplus R_2 \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2]$$
$$Tmp \leftarrow Tmp \oplus a \cdot R_1 \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot Z \oplus R_2]$$

**DPA** Security: exponent masked by  $R_2$ , sum masked by  $(R_3, R_4)$ 



$$(-1)^{R_2} F(Z) + R_3 \mod 2^n$$
  
=  $\left\lfloor \frac{1}{2^n} \left( 2^n R_3 + R_4 + \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \widehat{F}(a) (-1)^{a \cdot Z \oplus R_2} \mod 2^{2n} \right) \right\rfloor$ 

,

For every *a*:

$$Tmp \leftarrow a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot \widetilde{Z}]$$
  

$$Tmp \leftarrow Tmp \oplus R_2 \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot \widetilde{Z} \oplus R_2]$$
  

$$Tmp \leftarrow Tmp \oplus a \cdot R_1 \qquad [Tmp = a \cdot Z \oplus R_2]$$

DPA Security: exponent masked by R<sub>2</sub>, sum masked by (R<sub>3</sub>, R<sub>4</sub>)
 Efficiency: 2<sup>n+1</sup> look-ups avoided











- The FT-based DPA countermeasure of CHES 2006 has a flaw
- The flaw makes an efficient DPA attack possible
- Our attack has been practically validated
- We propose an improved version of the countermeasure
  - provably secure against DPA
  - more efficient than the original countermeasure